Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



.....Respondent

Sh. Rajinder Pal Singh, (9779048083)

Through Sh. Gurmail Singh Nahar Advocate,

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Astt. Commissioner of Police (Traffic), Police Lines,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o cum Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.1211 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 02-12-2020

PIO replied on : -

First appeal filed on : 11-01-2021

First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rajinder Pal Singh (On Telephone Call)

Respondent: Sh. Varinderpal (SI), 9815091370

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 05.03.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. Telephonically, appellant Sh. Rajinder Pal Singh acknowledged the receiving of requisite information and asked the Commission to close the case.
- 3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/Chandigarh (Maninder Singh Patti)
Dated: 20.07.2021 State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ajmel Singh, (780500810)

S/o Sh. Karnail Singh,

R/o VPO Dolon Khurd, Tehsil & Distt Ludhiana

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o Punjab State Federation Of House Building Societies,

Sector 34 A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Punjab State Federation Of House Building Societies,

Sector 34 A, Chandigarh

Complaint Case No.246 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 30-09-2020

PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Ajmel Singh

Respondent: Sh. Upkar Singh (Jr. Asst. cum APIO), 9464836317

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 25.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

In complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:- (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

- 3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
- 4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. 5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference.

The appeal is disposed off accordingly, with the above observations.

Sd/Chandigarh (Maninder Singh Patti)
Dated: 20.07.2021 State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in





Sh. Sukhdev Singh, (9463228842)

S/o Sh. Banta Singh,

Village Dhupsari, P.O Govt Multitechnical College Batala,

Distt Gurdaspur-143506

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information OfficerRespondent O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab,

Sector 61, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab,

Sector 61, Chandigarh.

Complaint Case No.267 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 14-11-2020

PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Sukhdev Singh

Respondent: Sh. Ashish Jain (Sr. Asst.), 9467679946

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 25.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

In complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:- (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

- 3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
- 4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. 5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference.

The appeal is disposed off accordingly, with the above observations.

Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Chandigarh Dated: 20.07.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in



Versus



Sh. Madan Lal, (9815786338)

S/o Sh. Hira Lal,

VPO Jasowal, Tehsil & Distt Ludhiana-141116

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Police Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.269 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 08-01-2021

PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI)

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 25.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. <u>Written submission by respondent authority</u>:- A letter bearing no. 269/21 dated 12.07.2021 relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਤੇ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਦਾ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 08-01-2021 ਜਿਸਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦਾ ਡਾਇਰੀ ਨੰਬਰ 98/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 13-01-2020 ਲੱਗਾ ਹੈ, ਵਿਚ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਯੂ.ਆਈ.ਡੀ ਨੰਬਰ 1758950 ਮਿਤੀ 27-02-2020 ਅਤੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ 1873126 ਮਿਤੀ 10-09-2020 ਬਾਰੇ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਇਸ ਦਵਤਰ ਦੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਸ਼ਾਖਾਂ ਪਾਸੇ ਹਾਸਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ, ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਲੈ ਜਾਣ ਵਾਸਤੇ ਫੋਨ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ, ਜੋ ਫੋਨ ਪਰ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਨੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਮਦਨ ਲਾਲ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਹੋਂ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਹੁਣ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ।

The appeal is disposed off accordingly, with the above observations.

Chandigarh Dated: 20.07.2021 (Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



.....Respondent

Sh. Sandeep Singh, (9781220320)

S/o Sh. Kashmir Singh,

R/o Village Muazam, Tehsil & Distt Fazilka-152123Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Officer, MNREGA,

Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Officer, MNREGA,

Fazilka.

Complaint Case No.270 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 12-01-2021

PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant:Sh. Sandeep Singh

Respondent: Sh. Manish Kumar (GRS), 9851876644

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 25.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

In complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:- (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

- 3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
- 4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. 5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference.

The appeal is disposed off accordingly, with the above observations.

Sd/(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Chandigarh Dated: 20.07.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

Sh. Tejveer Singh, (9855436162)

S/o Sh. Gurnam Singh,

Dashmesh Nagar, Near Raju Kariyana Store,

Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka,-152024

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies,

Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies,

Fazilka

Complaint Case No.273 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 29-01-2021

PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant:Sh. Tejveer Singh

Respondent: Sh. Rajan Gurbax (AR), 9815349645

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 25.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

In complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:- (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

- 3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
- 4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. 5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference.

The appeal is disposed off accordingly, with the above observations.

Sd/Chandigarh (Maninder Singh Patti)
Dated: 20.07.2021 State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Taljinder Singh, (9872745122)

S/o Sh. Ajit Singh,

R/o Rukali Mai Mangarh, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

Distt Roopnagar.

.....Appellant/Complainant Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Sri Chamkaur Sahib.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Sri Chamkaur Sahib.

Complaint Case No.275 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on 10-07-2020

PIO replied on

Present: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Manmohan Singh (Panchayat Secy.)

ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 25.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- 2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

In complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:- (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

- 3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
- 4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. 5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference.

The appeal is disposed off accordingly, with the above observations.

Sd/-Chandigarh (Maninder Singh Patti) Dated: 20.07.2021 State Information Commissioner, Pb.